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Abstract
Nonemployment is often posited as the outside option in macroeconomic models with wage bargaining and in models of labor market monopsony. The value of this state is therefore a fundamental determinant of wages, and in turn labor supply and job creation. We measure the effect of the value of the nonemployment option on employed workers’ wages. Our variation in nonemployment values arises from four large reforms of UI benefit levels in Austria, which we study quasi-experimentally by measuring wage responses in existing and new jobs using administrative data. Our analysis reveals a precisely estimated, low sensitivity of wages to UI benefit levels ranging between 0 and 4 cents on the dollar. This insensitivity holds even among workers with a priori low bargaining power and for workers with low labor force attachment, in areas of high unemployment, with high predicted unemployment duration, and among recently unemployed workers, and despite high take-up and eligibility – factors that either eliminate confounders or ought to render wages even more sensitive to nonemployment values. The insensitivity holds for job stayers and job switchers and persists when we zoom out to the firm or industry as the bargaining unit. This insensitivity of wages to the nonemployment option presents a puzzle to widely used wage setting protocols in macroeconomics and implies that nonemployment scenarios may not constitute a relevant threat point in bargaining. Our evidence supports wage setting mechanisms that largely insulate wages from the value of nonemployment.
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